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| Week | Topic | Reading |
| 1 | The mind-body problem:  Dualism,  Behaviourism,  Identity Theory | J. Kim, “Mind as behavior: Behaviorism”  (Ch 2 of his *Philosophy of Mind*)  J. Kim, “Mind as the brain: The Mind-Brain identity theory”  (Ch 3 of his *Philosophy of Mind*) |
| 2 | The mind-body problem:  Functionalism | J. Fodor, “The Mind-Body problem”  H. Putnam, “The nature of mental states” |
| 3 | Consciousness: The explanatory gap and the knowledge argument | T. Nagel, “What is it like to be a bat?”  F. Jackson, “Epiphenomenal qualia” |
| 4 | Consciousness: Qualia | S. Shoemaker, “The inverted spectrum”  G. Harman, “The intrinsic quality of experience” |
| 5 | Higher-order theories of consciousness | D. Rosenthal, “Two concepts of consciousness”  D. Rosenthal, “Explaining consciousness” |
| 6 | Representational theories of consciousness | W. Seager and D. Bourget, “ Representationalism about consciousness”  D. Chalmers, “The representational character of experience” |
| 7 | Mental causation:  The argument for reduction | J. Kim, “The nonreductivist’s troubles with mental causation”  J. Kim, “Mental causation” (Ch 6 of his *Philosophy of Mind*) |
| 8 | Mental causation and functionalism | J. Kim, “The many problems of mental causation” (Ch 2 of his *Mind in a physical world*)  N.Block, “Can the mind change the world?” |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 9 |  |  |
| Introduction to:  Mental representation | 1. Crane, T. ‘The puzzle of representation’ and 2. Crane, T. ‘Explaining mental representation’   (Chs. 1 & 5 of *The Mechanical Mind*)   1. Cummins, R. ‘Identifying the problem and other   preliminaries’  (Ch. 1 of his *Meaning and Mental Representation*) |
| 10 | Externalism about content | 1. Putnam, H. ‘The meaning of ‘meaning’’ |
| Similarity theories of mental representation | 1. Cummins, R. ‘Similarity’   (Ch. 3 of his *Meaning and Mental Representatio* |
| 11 | Causal theories of mental representation | 1. Dretske, F. ‘Misrepresentation’ |
| Teleological theories of mental representation | 1. Millikan, R. ‘Biosemantics’ |
| 12 | Asymmetric dependence | 1. Fodor, J. ‘A theory of content. II’ |
| Interpretational semantics | 1. Dennett, D. ‘True believers’ |